

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA
ORLANDO DIVISION

LENA MARIE LINDBERG,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. 6:21-cv-1783-RBD-DCI

ROBIN C. LEMONIDIS; LORI
TODD; F. BARBERI, E.
MCKINNON; DAVID GILLESPIE;
OFFICER ANASTASIA; MELANIE
GRISWOLD; RENEE PURDEN;
JEFFREY M. PEARSON; PETER
GAUNTLETT; RICHARD T. WILEY;
UNKNOWN; UNKNOWN;
PLAMEN NATCHEV; SCOTT
CARVER; and SUE FRANK,

Defendants.

ORDER

Plaintiff filed a *pro se* Complaint against Defendants. (Doc. 1.) Over a year after the Court dismissed Plaintiff's Complaint, she moved to appeal *in forma pauperis* ("IFP"). (Doc. 14; Doc. 16 ("Motion").) On referral, U.S. Magistrate Judge Daniel C. Irick recommends the Court deny the Motion because the appeal is not taken in good faith. (Doc. 22 ("R&R").) The time has passed and there were no objections, so the Court examines the R&R for clear error only. *See Macort v. Prem, Inc.*, 208 F. App'x 781, 784 (11th Cir. 2006). Finding none, the R&R is due to be

adopted in its entirety.

Accordingly, it is **ORDERED AND ADJUDGED**:

1. The R&R (Doc. 22) is **ADOPTED, CONFIRMED**, and made a part of this Order in its entirety.
2. Plaintiff's Motion (Doc. 16) is **DENIED**. Plaintiff's appeal is not taken in good faith.
3. The Clerk is **DIRECTED** to notify the Eleventh Circuit of this Order in accordance with Fed. R. App. P. 24(a)(4).

DONE AND ORDERED in Chambers in Orlando, Florida, on May 22, 2023.




ROY B. DALTON JR.
United States District Judge